

# Construction Sector Transparency Initiative – Ethiopia (CoST – Ethiopia)

# **FINAL REPORT**

On

Assurance of disclosed Project and Contract Information and disclosure of additional information of Dormitory and Classroom Building Project for Aksum University

Assurance Professional: Gebremedhin Abraha

# **Table of Contents**

LIS	LIST OF ACRONYMS (ABBREVIATIONS)4			
1.	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY5		
2.	INTR	CODUCTION7		
	2.1	BRIEF ON THE OVERALL CONTENT OF THE REPORT 7		
	2.2	OBJECTIVE OF THE ASSURANCE PROCESS 8		
	2.3	ACTIVITIES OF THE ASSURANCE PROCESS 8		
3.	DISC	LOSURE OF PROJECT INFORMATION11		
	3.1	PROJECT OVERVIEW 11		
	3.2	SCOPE OF THE PROJECT 11		
	3.3	PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT 11		
	3.4	UNDESIRED IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT 11		
	3.5	SOURCES OF FUNDING AND PROJECT COST 11		
	3.6	PROJECT DURATION 11		
4.	_	CLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT INFORMATION FOR SULTANCY SERVICE12		
	4.1	DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT INFORMATION 12		
	4.2	DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACT INFORMATION 12		
5.	DISC	CLOSURE OF WORKS CONTRACT13		
	5.1	DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT INFORMATION 13		
	5.2	DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACT INFORMATION 15		
6.	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMNDETION TO THE MSG17		
	6.1	CONCLUSION17		
	6.2	ISSUES RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER REVIEW 17		
An	nex 1: C	Glossary19		

Annex 2:	Material Project Information	 21

#### **LIST OF ACRONYMS (ABBREVIATIONS)**

CoST Construction Sector Transparency Initiative

NMSG National Multi-sector Group

NMSG-EC National Multi-sector Group Executive Committee

AP Assurance professional

CDSC Construction Design Share Company

CDSWC Construction Design & Supervision Works Corporation

BUDSWS Building & Urban Design & Supervision Works Sector

AU Aksum university

SBD Standard Bidding Document

NCB National Competitive Bidding

FDRE Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia

FPPA Federal Public Procurement Authority

FPPPAA Federal Public Procurement and Property Administration Agency

PPP Public Procurement Proclamation

ETB Ethiopian Birr (Currency)

FEACC Federal Ethics and Anticorruption Commission

MOFED Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

PE Procuring Entity

IDS Infrastructure data standard

MPI Material Project Information

VAT Value Added Tax

V.O Variation Order

#### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Ethiopia is one of the few countries that are exercising CoST. The initiative is managed by a NMSG-EC that represents the interests of government, the private sector, and civil society.

The aim and objective of the project level assurance is increasing the accountability of project stakeholders such as procuring entity, consulting and construction firms by improving transparency through disclosure of project information at all stages of the construction project cycle, from the initial identification of the project to the final completion. The disclosure is conducted by collection of the construction project information and verification of the information for completeness and accuracy.

Ethiopia is one of the few countries that are exercising CoST. The initiative is managed by a NMSG-EC that represents the interests of government, the private sector, and civil society; accordingly the NMSG-EC comprises representatives from government (such as FEACC, FPPA, MoFED, MoC) the Private Sector (association of Contractors' and Consulting firms) and representatives of civil societies. The NMSG-EC has selected the project to be disclosed based on the following criteria:

- Sector i.e. building construction
- > Status of the project i.e. on-going during the time of selection
- > Government financed project
- Projects with public visibility

On March 2018 the NMSG-EC of Cost-Ethiopia has assigned Ato Gebremedhin Abraha to conduct disclosure and assurance of the dormitory and classroom for Axum University. The project is located in the town of Axum inside the health science campus of Axum University. The dormitory and classroom are designed to serve students of the health science faculty.

The procuring entity, Aksum University has disclosed some of the MPI on a template in the FPPPAA website; however information regarding to contract extension, duration of extension, reason for extension are not disclosed. Moreover the template lucks information regarding increase of contract price, amount of increment and reason for increment; hence the AP works on complete MPI according to disclosure standard of CoST-Ethiopia, to make the disclosure complete.

The Employer is Axum University. Contracts included in the project were: design, construction supervision and construction works. Design and supervision contracts were carried out by CDSC now called BUDSWS, while the works contract was carried out by Yotek Construction PLC.

The disclosure and assurance focuses on the overall process of procurement, from design to construction stages for there was no any feasibility study of the project. The absence of feasibility study could not be justified.

During preparation of this report, the project was already completed; both buildings are functional final acceptance of the project was done in April 2016.

There was no any procurement process for design and construction supervision; rather it was directly given to a government owned enterprise i.e. CDSC now called BUDSWS. Such practice is not competitive, debars potential contenders and not preferable procedure in terms of value for money (vfm). Reason for giving the service directly to CDSC could not be justified.

The works contract was awarded to the winner, Yotek Construction PLC based on the least financial offer i.e. best economic advantage to the PE. The financial competition was among those bidders whose bid was response to the bidding requirement by conducting technical evaluation. The evaluation methodology and criteria was according to the Bid Data Sheet (BDS), which is the standard bidding form.

Cost of construction has risen by ETB 5,887,130.00, which is 11% of the original contract price. The increase is due to various variation works that were initiated by the Employer (AU) during the course of construction. The increase is not far from the contingency amount, which is usually 10% of the original contract.

Total numbers of variation works are six, out of which two variation orders were issued after partial temporary acceptance. Provisional acceptance is usually conducted when a project is substantially completed from which date the maintenance period (defects liability period starts); however the acceptance for this project was conducted while the construction was on going. Such practice is not common in the construction industry.

Original contract period was 450 calendar days; however the actual duration has extended and rose to 593 cal. days. This indicates the project was delayed by 143 calendar days; which is 32% of the original contract period. This had significant negative impact on the Employer's programme and cost. Apart from programme disorder, the Employer has paid the supervising consultant for additional 5 months even though the planned cost was only for 15 months.

#### 2. INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1 BRIEF ON THE OVERALL CONTENT OF THE REPORT

The report is carried out to record, assess, analyze, and highlight findings with recommendations obtained from the disclosure of information.

Public sector infrastructure projects make a major contribution to the economic growth and poverty reduction of a nation. However, mismanagement and corruption during the planning and implementation of construction projects can undermine the expected social and economic benefits.

The Construction Sector Transparency Initiative (CoST) is a multi-stakeholders initiative designed to increase transparency and accountability in the construction sector. The aim of the CoST initiative is to enhance the accountability of procuring bodies and construction companies for the cost and quality of public sector construction projects. It achieves this aim through the public disclosure of key project information at all stages of the construction project cycle, with specific focus on the period from the award of the main contract for construction until the final build (implementation phase).

It is, however, recognized that the disclosure of this information on its own may not be sufficient to achieve greater accountability. This is because some of the information is likely to be complex and not easily intelligible to the general public. An independent Assurance professional (AP) is therefore appointed by the NMSG-EC who will be responsible for assessing the adequacy and reliability of disclosed project information and highlight any causes for concern that the information reveals.

A number of building projects have been identified by the NMSG-EC of CoST-Ethiopia of which Dormitory and Classroom Project for health science of Axum University is one of the selected projects for disclosure.

CoST requires project owners and procuring entities to disclose for all eligible projects and contracts at specified stages during the construction project cycle. Disclosure of project information is one of the objectives of the assurance process in CoST. Accordingly, CoST — Ethiopia had disclosed various construction projects since its establishment by employing Assurance Professionals, though the disclosures should have been made by the Procuring Entities (PEs) themselves.

The establishment of a system whereby the PEs disclose by themselves was not at a satisfactory level, hence Cost-Ethiopia has understood the necessity of conducting training to staffs of the PEs so as to make the disclosure process maintainable. Accordingly the initiative has arranged training programs to representatives of nominated public institutions, of which Aksum University is one of the selected institutions. The Training was conducted on the basics of CoST and application of the website of Federal Public Procurement and Property Administration Agency (FPPPAA) for the disclosure of contract and projection information.

Following the above stated training, the Procuring entities were expected to disclose the required information of selected projects using the template posted on the website of FPPPAA. Accordingly Aksum University has disclosed information regarding the execution of Dormitory and Classroom Project on the website. The effort of AU to disclose is highly appreciable, however the information on the template is not complete for information pertaining to contract extension is not disclosed. Moreover the template posted lucks information pertaining to change in scope and cost of project.

Reactive disclosure and assurance related to availability of additional information presented in a usable form, in an accessible place and under a specified set of conditions is required. Therefore the NMSG-EC of CoST-Ethiopia has assigned an Assurance Professional, which is responsible for conducting collection of the construction project information and verification of the information for completeness and accuracy.

The Assurance Professional has conducted a site visual inspection on the progress and quality of the works while preparing the draft report. He has observed that the project is completed and become operational. The defects liability period (maintenance period) has already expired; as a result final acceptance is already done.

Procurement and contract information was availed from the PE, while conducting visit of the project site and from the Consultant's head quarter in Addis Ababa. Documents availed from both entities were verified against each other but the documents that could be availed only from the Consultant were verified by examining original seals and signature of authorized officials.

Based on the information availed, the report analyzes the service and works contract in; compliance with procurement procedures during tendering stage; and compliance with contract administration of works and consulting services during implementation phase. Part of the documents availed from PE were verified by the documents availed from the Consultant; however missing documents in PE's archive were collected only from the Consultant, in which case the documents were verified according to original stamp and signature of officials.

#### 2.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE ASSURANCE PROCESS

The Objectives of the assurance process are:

- Increase transparency and accountability of Procuring Entities (PEs) in public sector construction projects by increasing access to information. Greater transparency enhances environment for domestic and foreign direct investment.
- 2. Enhance understanding of construction project costs amongst public sector clients, industry and wider society.
- 3. Disclosure of material project information on a selection of construction projects at all stages of the project cycle, from the initial identification of the project to the final completion and ensure that the information that is released is available, complete and accurate
- 4. Produce report as required by the NMSG-EC that is clearly intelligible to the non-specialist, outlining the extent, accuracy of information released, findings regarding the cost and quality and highlighting any cause for concern of the specific building project

#### 2.3 ACTIVITIES OF THE ASSURANCE PROCESS

The Assurance Professional has entered contract agreement with CoST-Ethiopia to carry out the assurance process for Dormitory and Classroom Project for Health Science College of Aksum University. The activities to be done were based on the Terms of Reference in the contract agreement. The assurance process comprises of various activities with details shown below.

#### Preparation of work schedule

The assurance professional has prepared schedule of work in accordance to the agreement entered with CoST-Ethiopia in order to perform the assurance process. The schedule incorporated activities

such as collection of information, verification, analysis and preparation of report on information verification and analysis.

#### **Collection of Information and Data Verification**

CoST-Ethiopia has written a letter to Aksum University (PE) regarding the assignment of an AP who is responsible for the assurance process of multipurpose hall. The PE was well informed ahead regarding the disclosure of IDS and already assigned contact person (Ato Mebrahtu) who will be responsible in assisting the AP in information gathering. The person assigned is staff of the project office of the PE. At first the AP has visited the project site in order to:

- a. Physically understand the status of building project.
- b. Observe if there is any visible thing that undermines the quality of work
- c. Assist the PE in collecting documents that are available in Employer's archive and/or the project site office

The AP has visited the site together with the Ato Mebrahtu and Resident Engineer of the supervision Consultant. Consequently the AP together with Ato Mebrahtu gathered relevant documents pertaining to the assurance process such as construction contract agreement, bid evaluation document (partially), variation orders, time extension approval documents, certificates of payment among others. The PE was very cooperative in providing the required documents, though it was tiresome due to documentation problem of the project office. Some of the required documents could not be availed at all.

Certain documents such as design contract agreement, supervision contract agreement, invitation to bid for works and parts of bid evaluation report were collected from the Consultant,

#### **Verification of Information**

In the course of the assurance process, verification work has been carried out to validate the completeness and accuracy of the material project information. Documents availed from the PE were verified against the documents from the Consultant; however those documents missing in the PE's archive but availed from Consultant were verified by original official seal and signature of authorized personnel.

#### **Analysis of Information**

Analysis of the disclosed information is conducted in order to make informed judgements with particular attention about the changes and justifications of changes observed in the cost and duration of the building project by highlighting causes of concern.

#### Report preparation

The report regarding data collection, verifications, analysis and causes of concern is prepared according to 'standard content of project level assurance report' a format provided by CoST-Ethiopia, which was an annex to the contract agreement between CoST-Ethiopia and the Assurance Professional.

Standard template for disclosure of contract and projection information, which is also provided by CoST-Ethiopia, is annexed to the report (Annex 2).

#### 2.4 CHALENGES OF THE ASSURANCE PROCESS

Main challenge of the assurance process of the project was problems in collecting the document. The individual assigned by the PE to assist the AP in collecting documents pertaining to project disclosure was very cooperative. However the documents were not organized in an archive which can be traced without difficulties. As a result part the documents used for disclosure were found only in the consultant's archive.

The Consultant's archive is better regarding documentation, as compared to the procuring entity; however the collection of documents from Consultant took considerable time. The reason for this, according to the Consultant, is misplacement of documents while the corporation was on the process of restructuring.

Most of the required documents for disclosure are available either at PE archive or Consultant's archive or both, though few documents could not be availed until the completion of this draft report. Following is of documents that could not be availed.

- 1. Feasibility study and environmental impact assessment
- 2. Engineer's estimate
- 3. Amount of budget allocated for the project
- 4. Completion date for design service
- 5. Reason for direct award of the design and supervision service without tendering
- 6. Type and amount of contract security

#### 3. DISCLOSURE OF PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 3.1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

The project is a part of the Aksum University expansion. It comprises one G+4 dormitory building and one G+4 classroom building. Both buildings are intended to serve students of Health Science College. The project is located in Aksum inside the Health Science Campus. Laundry for students was included lately as variation work to be part of the works.

- Name of project: Dormitory and Classroom (Lot 19) at Health Science College for Axum University at Aksum project No. D8-008/2005
- Consultant:CDSC lately called CWDSC
- Contractor: Yotek Construction PLC
- Contract signing date: 03/06/2013G.C. 26/09/2005E.C.
- Mobilization period: 21 calendar days
- Commencement date: 23/10/2005E.C.
- Contract duration/original: 450 calendar days
- Total time elapsed up to completion:563 calendar days
- Intended completion date: 13/01/2007E.C.
- Actual completion date: 06/05/2007E.C.
- Awarded contract amount/original: ETB54,753,926.26
- Value of variation works:ETB5,567,094.00
- Construction contract amount/at completion: ETB62,723,649.57

#### 3.2 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

Originally the project scope is construction of one G+4 dormitory building and one G+4 classroom building; however at the interest of the Owner (AU) some variation works were executed during the course of construction of which an axillary building for students' laundry is a part.

#### 3.3 SOCIO ECONOMIC BENEFITS (PURPOSE) OF THE PROJECT

Document pertaining to feasibility study could be availed neither from the PE nor from the Consultant; as a result any written document that clearly explains well studied socio economic benefits of the project could not be included here in the report. However, it can be understood that the Dormitory and Classroom buildings are vital for the university.

The project purpose is to benefit students of the health science college of the Aksum University.

#### 3.4 UNDESIRED IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT

There is no document pertaining to environmental impact assessment that leads to make judgement about undesired impacts of the project. Moreover there is no any negative impact of the project that is observed or reported.

#### 3.5 SOURCES OF FUNDING AND PROJECT COST

On the bid invitation paper, it is cited saying that "The Aksum University have funds Government of FDRE budget to be used for the procurement of Aksum university expansion project with in the Employer's budget to be used for the procurement of Aksum University Expansion". This shall clearly confirm that it is funded by the university's budget financed by the Ethiopian Government.

#### 3.6 PROJECT DURATION

Project duration according to contract agreement between Aksum University and Yotek Construction PLC was 450 calendar days; however the actual time elapsed up to the date of partial temporary acceptance were 563 with 113 calendars days of delay. The delay is 25 % of the original contract duration. In accordance to the terms of the supervision agreement, the Consultant is authorized to approve justified time extension up to 25% of the original contract duration; hence the time extension was within the Consultant's approval limits. The approved date for contact extension was more than 113 calendar days, reason for this being execution of variation works after partial temporary acceptance. This indicates the acceptance was conducted when the project was not completed substantially.

The causes for extended duration were various additional works (variations to contract); which were initiated by the Employer during the course of construction, delay in effecting payment and effect of rainfall. Total numbers of days that are justified according to the above reasons are 143 calendar days, which are exceeding 25% of the original duration. If the justified time extension exceeds 25%, the Consultant can only make analysis and recommendation and then it will up to the Employer to approve the extension. The Employer has already approved the delay justification; hence the 143 calendar days were accepted as justified days of delay.

# 4. DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT INFORMATION FOR CONSULTANCY SERVICE

#### 4.1 DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

There was no any procurement process for design and construction supervision service. The service was directly given to government owned consulting company, the then Construction Design Share Company (CDSC) now called Building and Urban Design and Supervision Works (BUDSW) sector of the Construction Design and Supervision Works Corporation (CDSWC).

For the design service it was stated, on the contract agreement, that the Employer has requested the Consultant to provide certain consultancy service; however the letter for such requested is not attached with the contract agreement. Moreover there was no document that clarifies negotiation of price or direct acceptance of the Consultant's offer.

For the supervision service it was stated, on the contract agreement, that the PE has requested the Supplier to provide certain consultancy service; however the letter for such requested is not attached with the agreement. Moreover there was no document that clarifies negotiation of price or direct acceptance of the Consultant's offer.

#### 4.2 DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACT INFORMATION

The contract information for design and supervision was available only in the consultant's archive; hence the information could not be verified against other sources, the PE. However the contract agreement availed from the Consultant bears original seal of the PE and the Consultant and moreover original signatures of the authorized official i.e. President of AU and CEO of CDSC. Therefore the AP has accepted that the contract agreements for services, design and supervision as true. As mentioned in the findings of the disclosure, the PE was very cooperative in searching all documents pertinent to the project, but was not able to find out some of the documents, of which the above mentioned contract agreements, due to the absence of well-organized central archive.

#### 4.2.1 DESIGN CONTRACT

#### **Overview of Contract**

The contract agreement was signed on 19<sup>th</sup> October 2012 between Aksum University and Construction Design Share Company 02/06/2005 to provide the service on the terms and conditions of the contract with mutual rights and obligations of the Employer and the Consultant as stated here:

- a) The Consultant shall carry out the service in accordance with the provisions of the contract and
- b) The Employer shall pay the Consultant the contract price of ETB 945,153.06 (nine hundred fourty five thousand one hundred fifty three & 6/100). The contract price includes the design fee for other buildings to be designed together with the G+4 dormitory and G+4 classroom buildings.

The description of design service, as stated in Appendix A, which is part of the contract agreement was adaptation and modification of four (4) blocks of classrooms and five (5) blocks of dormitories as a package. Therefore the agreement is not only for the project under consideration but also additional buildings within the design package.

#### **Contract milestones**

Date of contract signing: 19/10/2012G.C

Commencement date: 26/10/2012G.C

Contract duration: 60 calendar days

Intended completion date: 24/12/2012G.C

Actual date of completion of the design contract is not disclosed here for information pertaining to the date of actual completion of the design service could not be availed from the PE or the Consultant.

#### **Contract Scope**

The design service consists of the design and adaptation to tender document preparation of different buildings constructed in other universities. The scope of service to be rendered can be summarized as follows:

- Detail engineering design including design drawings
- Design report
- Engineer's estimate
- Tender document

#### <u>Disclosure of Information for Design Service</u>

The PE has disclosed project and contract information on the FPPPAA web site only for supplier 1, which is the works contract. Nevertheless the PE didn't disclose anything about the design contract, which can be named as supplier 2. Therefore information regarding the design service contract is not disclosed on the web site.

According to the disclosure standard of CoST-Ethiopia, majority of the information regarding the design service is disclosed as indicated in the annex to this report; however the disclosed information is not complete for vital information such as actual completion date of contract, type of contract security, amount of contract security, changes in scope of service, change in duration are not disclosed or are not justified if there were no changes to scope of services, duration and contract price. The quality of design is not stated or explained for there is no report provided regarding the completion of the service.

#### 4.2.2 SUPERVISION CONTRACT

#### **Overview of Contract**

As stated in the contract agreement for supervision service, "the PE has requested the Supplier to provide certain consultancy services as defined herein and attached to this contract"; however the letter of request is not attached to the agreement. As it was mentioned earlier in section 4.1 of this report, the contract was directly awarded to the then called CDSC now CDSWC.

The supervision contract was signed on 20<sup>th</sup> June 2013 between Aksum University and Construction Design Share Company (CDSC) to carry out supervision and contract administration of Dormitory and Classroom buildings. The contract has extended with the extension of the works

contract automatically as it was stated in the Special Conditions of Contract for supervision and contract administration.

#### **Contract data**

Contract type: time based

Date of contract signing: 20/06/2013G.C

Commencement date: site hand over date of the works contract

Contract duration: 450 calendar days

Intended completion date: 23/09/2015 G.C

Cost of supervision: 38,000.00 Birr/month

Consultant's approval limit for cost: approval of variation less than 10% of the contract price

Consultant's approval limit for time: claims approval except delay justification less than 25% of

the contract time

#### **Contract cost**

The cost of supervision and contract administration was agreed on monthly basis. The original contract duration was 450 calendar days (15 months), accordingly the intended total cost of supervision was ETB570,000.00. In the SCC it was stated that in the event the project is not completed within the specified period, the contract agreement will be extended automatically until the project is completed. The PE shall pay for the extra time at the same monthly rate calculated for the original period of the agreement.

The works contract was delayed by 143 calendar days. When the sum is turned in to months the extended time is equivalent to 5 months; as a result the supervision contract has extended by 5 months and became 20 months hence the total supervision cost has increased by ETB190,000.00 and rose to ETB760,000.00. Therefore the conclusion here is that delay in works contract increase cost of supervision, which is cost implication to the PE.

#### **Disclosure of Information for Supervision Service**

The PE has disclosed project and contract information on the FPPPAA web site only for supplier 1, which is the works contract. Nevertheless the PE didn't disclose anything about the supervision contract, which can be named as supplier 2. Therefore information regarding the supervision service contract is not disclosed on the web site.

According to the disclosure standard of CoST-Ethiopia, majority of the information regarding the supervision service is disclosed as indicated in the annex to this report; however the disclosed information is not complete for vital information such as type and amount of contract security, changes in scope of service and change in contract price are not disclosed or are not justified if there were no changes.

#### 5. DISCLOSURE OF WORKS CONTRACT

#### 5.1 DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

#### 1.1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS

Procurement procedure for selecting the firm that undertaken the construction work begins with inviting bidders, through newspaper, to submit their offer up to the bid closing date; which is the deadline for bid submission. The bid floating period was 30 calendar days.

Evaluation methodology and criteria was based on a standard bidding format provided to all bidders who collected the tender document. The criteria incorporate the listed below items:

- 1. Legal qualification of the bidder
- 2. Professional qualification and capability of the bidder
- 3. Technical qualification, competence and experience of the bidder
- 4. Financial standing of the bidder

The bid was opened on the same date it was closed, 05/07/2005 E.C, in the presence of all parties i.e. PE, Consultant and bidders. Technical evaluation for prequalification, that was conducted based on the qualification information started from checking the responsiveness of the entire bidders offer. Out of the four bidders who submitted their offer for consideration, one bidder's offer was considered as non-responsive for not submitting the standard bid document (SBD); accordingly the bidder was disqualified from further evaluation.

Detail technical evaluation was conducted for those bidders whose bid was responsive. Out of the three bidders whose bid was responsive, one bidder's offer was disqualified for not fulfilling annual turn-over requirement stated in the standard bid document (SBD. Only two bidders who fulfilled the prequalification requirement were legible for financial competition; Then CDSC submitted his recommendation to the Employer.

Financial offer was opened on 17/08/2005 E.C in the presence of all parties i.e. PE, Consultant and bidders. The evaluation was conducted to select the bidder with the least offer. The bid evaluation committee has conducted arithmetic checking of all offers and identified the least offer; then has submitted the result of financial evaluation for Employer's decision.

The Employer has notified the Consultant by letter that he has accepted the result of evaluation and instructed the Consultant to inform the winner and prepare contract agreement for signing.

#### 1.1.2 VERIFICATION OF THE DISCLOSED PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

#### 5.1.2.1 COMPLETENES OF THE DISCLOSED PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

In the invitation to bid it was stated which category of contractors (suppliers) are eligible to participate in the bid. It was also stated that the bidding will be conducted in accordance with the open national tendering procedures contained in the Public Procurement Proclamation of the FDRE and open to all eligible bidders. Moreover the invitation to bid comprises of the listed below information that are vital to all prospective bidders:

a)address where interested bidders may obtain further information regarding the bid

b)address where the complete set of bidding document can be purchased

- c)Place, date and time for delivery of bids.
- d)Place, date and time for bid opening
- e)List of documents that should be incorporated in the bid
- f) Reason for automatic rejection from the tender

#### **Technical Evaluation**

In the preamble to the technical evaluation, it was stated that ten bidders have purchased the tender document of which four bidders have submitted their offer; however list of those bidders who collected the tender document is not attached to the evaluation document. In the bid opening process the date, time, place and names of persons who attend the bid is listed. The list also includes telephone numbers and list of organization which the attendants represent. The PE was represented by three persons, the Consultant by two persons and each bidder was represented by a single representative.

The evaluation document includes completeness, signing and seals of the bid documents of the four bidders. The type and responsiveness, in accordance to the bidding requirement, of the bank guarantee is also included in the bidding document. One bidder was disqualified for not submitting the Standard Bid Document (SBD)

The detail technical evaluation was conducted by assigning codes to the bidders. In the evaluation document only annual volume of construction and work experience in similar nature of work are attached. Out of the three bidders under consideration, one bidder couldn't fulfil the annual turnover requirement; as a result the bidder was disqualified from further evaluation. On the conclusion and recommendation, it was stated that all detail steps of evaluation are shown though documents that indicate fulfilment of bidders offer according to Bid Submission Sheet (BSS) is not attached to the evaluation document.

#### **Financial Evaluation**

The financial evaluation document comprises the bid opening date, time, place and names of persons who attend the bid. The list also includes telephone numbers and list of organization which the attendants represent. The PE was represented by two persons, the Consultant by two persons and the two bidders who passed the technical evaluation were represented by a single representative each. The bid documents were checked for correction of arithmetic and responsiveness in accordance to the bidding requirements. The tender evaluation committee made conclusions about the result of financial evaluation and submitted the evaluation report for PE's decision.

Subsequent to technical and financial evaluation and recommendation of the tender committee of the consultant the following procedures are disclosed:

- a)Letter of acceptance of the PE to the result of evaluation and recommendation and requesting the Consultant to prepare contract agreement
- b)The Consultant's notification letter to the bidders regarding the tender result
- c)Consultant's letter for submitting contract agreement to PE for signing with the successful bidder

Most of the disclosed information is available, but documents that are listed under are not attached to the technical evaluation report:

a)List of bidders who purchased the bid document

- b)Professional qualification and capacity of the bidder that includes number of staff and personnel for the key positions
- c)Technical qualification particularly equipment required for the implementation of the contract
- d)Not occurring of non-performing contracts and pending litigations
- e)Financial resources

Based on the above information provided and verified documents, we can say that the disclosed procurement information is not complete.

#### 5.1.2.2 ACCURACY OF THE DISCLOSED PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

Part of the procurement information obtained from the PE was checked against the documents provided by the Consultant. Some of the documents that could not be availed from PE were collected from the Consultant. The documents that are availed from the Consultant alone were checked for accuracy by verifying original seals and signature of authorized persons. Therefore the AP believes that the disclosed procurement information is accurate.

#### 1.1.3 ANALYSIS OF THE DISCLOSED PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

#### 5.1.3.1 COMPLIANCE OF THE PROCUREMENT WITH RULES OF ADVERTISEMENT

The advertisement was open for eligible bidders in accordance to the tendering procedure of the FPPPAA. Prerequisite for purchasing the tender were stated on the ITB, which was submission of written application, VAT registration certificate, MoWUD certificate, trade licence and bid participation supporting letter. Based on these conditions nine bidders have purchased the tender document.

Bidders were required to submit sealed technical proposal, financial offer and bid security ETB 250,000.00 (two hundred fifty thousand). Signed and sealed standard bidding document (SBD) was supposed to be returned with technical proposal. Accordingly four bidders have submitted their bid up to the end of bid submission date, which is 30 calendar days from the advertising date.

In the course of technical and financial evaluation, responsiveness of bidders' offer was checked against the points mentioned in the invitation to bid and or instruction to bidders. In the advertisement it was stated that bidding will be conducted in accordance with the open national tendering procedures contained PPP of the FDRE. Therefore in can be concluded that the procurement was in compliance with the rules of the advertisement.

#### 5.1.3.2 EFFICIENCY OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS

The invitation to bid was posted on 06/06/2005E.C. Exactly after 30 calendar days, which is the bid floating period, the bid was closed on 05/07/2005E.C and opened on the same date in the presence of all parties i.e. PE, Consultant and bidders. The Consultant has completed the technical evaluation on 13/07/2005E.C; eight days after bid opening. Financial evaluation was completed on 21/08/2005E.C. 05/09/2005E.C the Employer has informed the Consultant that he has accepted the results of financial evaluation and has to notify the winner and loser about the result. The Consultant has notified the loser on 06/09/2005E.C and the winner about acceptance of his offer on 19/09/2005E.C. A week later contract agreement between the Employer and Contract was signed on 26/09/2005E.C

Based on the above timing in the procedures of procurement, it can be concluded that the procurement process was efficient.

#### 5.1.3.3 FAIRNESS OF THE PROCUREMENT RULES ON PARTICIPATION

The invitation to bid was particularly for contractors with category GC1 or BC1 with valid licence for the current year. Class of contractor is related with the capacity to execute the intended construction. The bidding was conducted in accordance with the open national tendering procedure contained in the Public Procurement Proclamation of the FDRE and was open to all eligible bidders.

Interested eligible bidders were given opportunity to obtain further information regarding the bidding document from the Consultant who posted the bid. Bid floating period (30 calendar days) was adequate for the interested bidders in order to prepare and submit their offer.

Based on the disclosed information, concerning the procurement process, it can be concluded that the procurement rules were fair on participation.

#### 5.1.3.4 TRANSPARENCY OF THE TENDER EVALUATION PROCESS

The bid was opened in the presence of all parties i.e. PE, Consultant and bidders. The qualification information for prequalification evaluation was based on the standard bidding document and the main criteria for winning the bid was least financial offer provided that the bidders pass the qualification criteria.

Out of the four contenders who submitted their bid for consideration, one bidder was disqualified for not submitting the SBD. Therefore detail technical evaluation was carried out only for three bidders. During the process of technical evaluation, one bidder was found not-fulfilling the requirements of the evaluation criteria; as a result the bidder was excluded from further evaluation. Therefore only two bidders were qualified for further financial evaluation.

The financial offers for two eligible bidders who have passed the technical evaluation were opened by the tender committee of the Employer in the presence of representatives of the Consultant and competing bidders. The offers were checked for the correction of the arithmetic and then the bidder with least offer was recommended by the Consultant to the Employer.

The Consultant, who conducted the evaluation, has notified the winner to the bidders who lost the bid by letter expressing the winning price.

#### 5.1.3.5 OBJECTIVITY OF THE TENDER EVALUATION AND THE AWARD CRITERIA

The qualification requirement for bidders was classified as compliant or non-compliant to the bidding requirement. The bidding requirements were legal, professional, technical and financial qualification, which are achievable for a category of contractor GC1 or BC1. The bidding forms indicated in table 5.1 below was used to evaluate a bid and determine whether a bidder has the required qualification. The table comprises all mandatory documentary evidence establishing the bidder's qualification; hence the tender evaluation was objective. Bidders were supposed to provide all the information requested in the forms included in the table.

The factor, requirement, criteria and supporting documents that are evidences for fulfilling the requirements assure the objectivity of the tender evaluation. All the documents that prove the evidences are assumed to be inspected during evaluation, though some of them are not attached to the technical evaluation report. To assure completeness, the AP believes that it is vital either attaching the proof of evidence or at least indicate on the technical evaluation report that such documents were inspected and checked for responsiveness.

The criterion for awarding the contract was the lowest price provided that the bidder's offer was responsive to qualification requirements set forth in the Bidding Document based on the

documentary qualification.	evidence	establishing	the	Bidder's	legal,	professional,	technical,	and	financial

Table 5.1 Bidding Forms

Factor	Requirement	Criteria	Documents Required	Remarks
1.Legal Qualification of t	he Bidder			
1.1 Nationality	Nationality in accordance with ITB clause 4.2	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet	Not attached to evaluation report
1.2 Conflict of interest	No conflict of interest as described in ITB clause 4.3	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet	Not attached to evaluation report
1.3 Registration in the FPPA's suppliers list	Having been registered in the FPPA's suppliers list in accordance ITB clause 4.7	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet	Not attached to evaluation report
1.4 Debarred by the decision of the FPPA	Not have been debarred by the FPPA's from participating in accordance ITB clause 4.4	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet	Not attached to evaluation report
1.5 Valid trade licence	Having been submitted valid trade licence in accordance ITB clause 4.6	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report
1.6 VAT registration certificate	Having been submitted VAT registration certificate in accordance ITB clause 4.6	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report
1.7 Valid tax clearance certificate	Having been submitted tax clearance certificate in accordance ITB clause 4.6	Must meet the requirement	Bid submission sheet with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report
2.Professional Qualificat	ion and Capacity of the Bidder			

2.1 Number of staff	At least 10 staff currently work for the bidder	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certificate of compliance	Not attached to evaluation report
2.2 Persons for the key position	Position, qualification, total experience and experience in similar works as per stated in BSS	Must meet the requirement as per stated in BSS	Technical proposal with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report
3.Technical Qualification	n, Competence and Experience of the Bidde	er		
3.1 General experience	Successful completion of equivalent project of nature and complexity over the last three years	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certificate of compliance with attachments	Attached to evaluation report
3.2 Special experience	At least 70% completion of similar building contract with contract value not less than 80 million over the last three years	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certificate of compliance with attachments	Attached to evaluation report
3.3 History of non-performing contracts	Non-performance of a contract did not occur within the last five years or fully settled dispute or	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certification of compliance	Not attached to evaluation report
3.4 Pending litigation	Pending litigation shall in total not represent more than 2% of the bidder's net worth	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certification of compliance	Not attached to evaluation report
3.5 Equipment for implementation of the contract	The bidder must demonstrate that will avail type and number of equipment listed in BSS	Must meet the requirement	Technical proposal with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report
4.Finanacial Standing of	the Bidder			
4.1 Historical financial performance	Submission of audited financial statements for the past three years as required in ITB clause 15		Bidder certificate of compliance with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report

4.2 Average annual turnover	Accomplishments of construction work with average value of at least ETB 80 million over the past three years. The value is accepted provided that it is verified by external auditor or revenue authority.	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certificate of compliance with attachments	Attached to evaluation report
4.3 Financial resources	The bidder must demonstrate access to credit facility or availability of financial resources to meet the cash-flow requirements	Must meet the requirement	Bidder certificate of compliance with attachments	Not attached to evaluation report

#### 5.1.3.6 COMPETITIVENESS OF THE AWARD PRICE

Out of the ten bidders who collected the bid document, four bidders submitted their bid offer. When the tender evaluation committee opened the offers in the presence of all parties one bidder was found that he didn't submit the SDB; as a result the bidder was disqualified from further evaluation. Out of the three remaining contenders, one bidder has failed to pass the technical evaluation; hence only two of them were qualified for further evaluation. Therefore financial competition was between two bidders, which is not that much competitive. The award price, including 15% VAT, was ETB 54,753,929.26 and the other bidder's offers who lost the bid was ETB 57,372,104.78, which ETB 2,618,175.52 (4.8%) higher than the list offer. The award price is competitive as compared to the offer that lost the bid.

#### 5.1.3.7 OVERVIEW OF THE CONTRACT MILESTONES

Contract agreement was signed on the 26<sup>th</sup> of Ginbot 2005 for the execution of a G+ 4 dormitory and a G+ 4 classroom at the Health Science Campus of Aksum University with contract duration of 450 calendar days and contract price of ETB 54,753,929.26. The contract has varied with duration and cost at completion. The duration has extended to 593 calendar days and construction cost has risen to ETB 62,723,649.57

#### 5.2 DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACT INFORMATION

#### 1.1.4 OVERVIEW OF THE CONTRACT

The construction contract agreement was signed between Aksum University (the Employer) and Yotek Construction PLC (the Contractor) on 26/09/2005E.C after the Employer accepted the bid by the contractor for the execution and completion of a G+ 4 dormitory and a G+ 4 classroom at the Health Science Campus of Aksum University with contract duration of 450 calendar days and contract price of ETB 54,753,929.26 .and remedying of any defects therein for the contract price of ETB 54,753,929.26 (Fifty four million seven hundred fifty three thousand nine hundred twenty nine and 26/100).

In consideration of the payment to be made by the Employer to the Contractor, the Contractor has made a covenant with the Employer to execute and complete the works and remedy any defects in conformity in all respects with the provision of the contract. The Employer also made a covenant to pay the Contractor in consideration of the execution and completion of the works and remedying of defects herein.

The work was agreed to execute in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in the contract agreement and law specified in the Special Conditions of Contract. The SCC was taken, among others as part and parcel of the contract agreement.

The contract incorporates a general item, which is a facility for the supervising consultant's staff among a multipurpose hall and site work.

#### 1.1.5 VERIFICATION OF THE DISCLOSED CONTRACT INFORMATION

#### 5.2.2.1 COMPLETENESS OF THE DISCLOSED CONTRACT INFORMATION

Aksum University has disclosed project and contract information on the FPPPAA web site, based on the template provided on the web site; however information regarding identification, preparation, contract extension, duration of extension and reason for extension are not filled on the template provided. Therefore the disclosure lucks completeness. Moreover the template, among others, includes contract extension information but does not include changes in cost of construction, which

is vital information to the prospective users of the web information. The AP believes that disclosure of actual project cost is vital to those who utilize the information posted on the FPPPAA web site.

The disclosure standard of CoST-Ethiopia incorporates wide-ranging contract information regarding construction project. The standard template, which is an annex to this report, is compiled according to the verified information regarding the project under consideration. Most part of the contract information are disclosed; however information regarding the type and amount of contract security is not attached to the contract agreement. Therefore the information pertaining to the contract is not complete.

#### ACCURACY OF THE DISCLOSED CONTRACT INFORMATION

The disclosed contract information provided by the PE was verified against the document in the hands of the consultant that undertakes the construction supervision service.

Most of the disclosed contract information provided by the PE was verified against the document in the consultant's archive; however some of the documents required for disclosure were available only in the consultant's office. The AP is convinced that the accuracy of such documents can be accepted pertaining to bearing of original seal and signature of authorized officials of the PE and the Contractor.

#### 1.1.6 ANALYSIS OF THE DISCLOSED CONTRACT INFORMATION

#### 5.2.3.1 ISSUES RELATED TO CONTRACT PRICE

Originally the contract price was ETB 54,753,929.26; however the price has increased by 14.6% and rose to Birr 62,723,649.57 during completion.

The actual executed amount during the issuance of partial temporary acceptance certificate was ETB 41,180,601.05; however the total amount of work executed according final payment certificate (No.13), which is approved on 24/10/2008E.C is ETB 62,723,649.57. The difference in the figures ETB 21,543,045.52 indicates that some of the works, even from the main contract, were executed in between the date of temporary acceptance and final acceptance. Moreover variation order No.5 and 6 were executed during this period for the V.Os recorded during partial temporary acceptance were only V.O No1 through 4.

Temporary acceptance was supposed to be carried out when the buildings are substantially completed so that the duration between the date of temporary acceptance and final acceptance is dedicated for maintenance of defects that are observed while conducting the temporary acceptance. In this case the acceptance can be assumed only for part of the whole project for the title of document was stated "Partial Temporary Acceptance" even though no remark or comment, that supports the title, was stated inside the document. The AP believes that the disclosure of information regarding the temporary acceptance is not adequate to accept as justified action. The information on the partial temporary acceptance document indicates that the buildings were, for some reason, accepted temporarily though they were not completed according to the contract agreement

The change in contract price was due to variation works that were initiated by the Employer in the course of execution of the contract. The Consultant has maintained the procedure in approving the variation works that affected the contract price. All the variation works were justified.

#### 5.2.3.2 ISSUES RELATED TO CONTRACT DURATION

As compared to original contract period i.e. 450 calendar days, the contract duration has extended by 143 days. The actual time elapsed up to the date of partial temporary acceptance was 563 calendar days with 113 calendars days of delay; even though total delay recorded and justified was 143 calendar days. This indicated that additional 30 calendar days were approved for the variation works that were executed after the date of partial temporary acceptance.

Reasons for the extension of contract duration were time claimed by contractor to execute variation works, claim due to delay in effecting payment to Contractor by Employer and time extension claimed due to adverse weather effect. The employer has accepted the increased duration as justified.

#### 5.2.3.3 ISSUES RELATED TO CONTRACT SCOPE

The scope of work according to contract was construction of one G+4 dormitory building and one G+4 classroom building; however the work scope was slightly changed by construction of an auxiliary building intended for students' laundry.

#### 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMNDETION TO THE MSG

#### 6.1 CONCLUSION

#### Causes of Concern

There was no any procurement process for design and construction supervision for the service was directly given to a government owned consultant – BUDSWS, the then called CDSC, hence the Procurement of engineering service was not competitive.

For the works contract technical and financial evaluations were done independently and at different stages, hence there was no any conflict of interest seen on the procurement process for construction works.

Duration of construction has extended to 593 calendar days with 143 days of justified delay. Since the delay exceeds 25% of the original contract duration, it was more than the Consultant's decree to approve; as a result the Consultant has made recommendation to the PE and the PE has accepted the recommendation and approved the delay justification. Out of the total days of justified delay, 62 days (43%) were due delay in effecting payment, 24 days(17%) were due adverse whether effect and 26 days (18%) were due to variation works added in the course of construction. The reason for the remaining 31 days (22%) of the total justified delay was not mentioned in the approved document. Probably these days were approved earlier for those are within the decrees of the Consultant. The delay has cost and time implication to the PE.

Extension of contract time that was justified and approved by the PE, according to the document provided was only 143 calendar days; however during the issuance of final acceptance numbers of justified days of delay were indicated as 200 calendar days. The difference 57 calendar days could not be verified by other documents; hence it is an issue of concern.

The PE has approved 143 calendar days of time extension in which the projected date for completion was supposed to be 06/05/2007 but on the same document it was stated that the work is not completed on the specified date. While issuing certificate of final acceptance, the actual completion date was mentioned as 06/05/2007, which is contrary to the statement stated in the document for approval of delay justification. Whenever such kind of contradictory statements stated in the same contract are in fallacy to each other, the transparency is questioned.

Construction cost has risen by ETB 7,969,720.31 (14.6%) of the original contract price, of which 9.3% is due to variation works that were initiated by the Employer and the remaining 5.3% is due increase in volume of actual work executed compared to original volume of work. The escalated amount is more than the contingency amount, which is 10%. Therefore the increase affects the Employer's budget allocated or has significant cost implication.

The actual executed amount during the issuance of partial temporary acceptance certificate was ETB 41,180,601.05; however the total amount of work executed according final payment certificate (No.13), which is approved on 24/10/2008E.C is ETB 62,723,649.57. The difference in the figures ETB 21,543,045.52 indicates that some of the works, even from the main contract, were executed during the maintenance period. This implies that the works were accepted before completion though temporary acceptance was supposed to be carried out when the works are substantially completed. The information on the partial temporary acceptance document indicates that the buildings were, for some reason, accepted temporarily though they were not completed according to the contract agreement.

#### Conclusion

There was no any document regarding feasibility study and environmental impact assessment or at least explanation for not executing the study. Therefore the assurance professional believes that the disclosure of information is not adequate to make an informed judgement regarding the feasibility and socio economic impact of the project.

The design and supervision service was directly given to government owned consulting company (enterprise) without competition. Such practice is not preferable for it debars potential contenders. Even though such practice is possible only with budgetary organization the reason for awarding the contract to an enterprise was not disclosed; therefore it not possible to conclude whether the award without out competition on was justifiable or not.

The procurement process of construction works was also based on NCB, by means of technical and financial evaluation. The evaluation process was clear and all the documents related to the evaluation process were complete. Out of the ten bidders who purchased the tender document only four of them have submitted their offer for consideration. Out of the four contenders, one bidder was disqualified for no fulfilling the bid requirement and another one has failed to pass the technical evaluation. Accordingly the financial competition was only between two contenders, which can be said as narrow competition. The price difference from the least offer of the highest offer was only 4.8%.

The award price for the works contract was the least offer of the two contenders who fulfilled the qualification criteria. It was not possible to conclude that the award price was fair and complete in the absence of Engineer's Estimate. In order for the stakeholders to have an informed judgment regarding the fairness of the award price, it is vital to disclose the Engineer's Estimate prepared by the design Consultant.

There was no any document regarding feasibility study or explanation for not executing the study. Document that clarifies government's budget allocation for this specific project could not be availed. Moreover document that justifies why the engineering services was directly given without competition is also unavailable. Therefore the assurance professional believes that the disclosure of information is not complete.

#### 6.2 ISSUES RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER REVIEW

The Assurance professional understands that the primary aim of CoST is to enhance the transparency and accountability of publicly financed projects. The improved transparency would be supportive for better management of public finances and will reduce corruption. Hence it is advisable that any procurement process be properly planned for unplanned procurement with inadequate time for procurement process leads to give contracts without proper tendering process.

Cooperation of the PE and the consultant in providing necessary documents is appreciable; however collection of information from the PE was difficult due to the absence of well-organized archive of the project documents. Some of the relevant documents could not be availed even after making considerable effort. In order to increase transparency, it is recommended that procuring entities must be well concerned about the importance of proper documentation pertinent to a construction project from inception to completion and even more for up to ten years after completion.

It is understood that prolonged project duration seriously affects the Employer's programme, causes unnecessary expenses and undermines accountability. For this specific project, 43% of the

total justified delays were due to delay in effecting payment to Contractor by the Employer, which can be avoided by proper cash flow planning.

According to the bidding form there some qualification criteria not indicated on the technical evaluation report. The evidences must be mentioned in the report if the documents are assumed as vast or difficult to compile in the evaluation report.

# **Annex 1: Glossary**

**Accountability:** CoST's aim is to enhance the accountability of procuring bodies and construction companies for the cost and quality of public-sector construction projects. The core accountability concept is to 'get what you pay for'. The 'you' in this context applies equally to national governments, affected stakeholders and to the wider public.

Budget: an amount of money allocated to a project or scheme

**Competitive Tendering**: Awarding contracts by the process of seeking competing bids from more than one contractor.

Construction Sector Transparency (CoST) Initiative: An international multi-stakeholder initiative designed to increase transparency and accountability in the construction sector.

**Consultant:** An organisation or individual who has made a contract to provide services.

**Contract**: A binding agreement made between two or more parties, which is intended to be legally enforceable.

Contract Documents: Documents incorporated in the enforceable agreement between the Procuring Entity and the contractor, including contract conditions, specification, pricing document, form of tender and the successful tenderers' responses (including method statements), and other relevant documents expressed to be contract documents (such as correspondence, etc.)

**Contractor**: An organisation or individual who has made a contract to undertake works, supply goods or provide services.

**Contract period:** An arrangement for the supply of works, goods or services established for a fixed period of time.

**Cost estimate:** A cost estimate prepared by the buyer of works, goods or services which provides a benchmark or a basis for evaluation and/or negotiation when tenders/offers are received from bidders. It also serves as an instrument of project planning and budgeting.

**Employer:** In the context of the CoST initiative, the Procuring Entity awarding construction and consultancy contracts for the project.

**Feasibility study:** An evaluation of a proposed project to determine the difficulty and likely success and benefits of implementing the project.

**Final acceptance:** Owner's acceptance of a project from the Contractor after the entire work is completed, tested and inspected in accordance with the contract requirement and project is permanently handed over to the owner. Final acceptance is performed at the end of maintenance period (defects liability period)

Material Project Information (MPI): MPI in this context is intended to indicate that information disclosed on a project is sufficient to enable stakeholders to make informed judgements about the cost and quality of the infrastructure concerned.

**Procurement:** The process of acquiring goods, works and services, covering acquisition from third parties and from in-house providers. The process spans the whole life cycle from identification of needs, through to the end of a services contract or the end of the useful life of an asset.

**Procuring Entities (PEs – also referred as clients and contracting authorities):** The State, regional or local authorities, bodies governed by public law or associations formed by one or several of such authorities that procure works, goods and services with full or part public funding.

**Programme:** The projected timing of activities required under the contract.

**Provisional acceptance:** a conditional acceptance which means that the Employer has accepted the project but performance needs to be verified or confirmed under operational conditions within an agreed period.

**Provisional acceptance certificate:** a certificate to evidence conditional acceptance to be issued when the warranty period starts.

**Supervision contract:** a contract with a consultant to oversee the performance of the contractor on the construction work, to give a level of reassurance to the Employer about the quality of the work.

**Stakeholder:** is an organization or group involved in business and therefore has responsibilities towards it and an interest in its success.

**Tender:** An official written offer to an invitation that contains a cost proposal to perform the works, services or supplies required, and is provided in response to a tendering exercise. This normally involves the submission of the offer in a sealed envelope to a specified address by a specified time and date

**Tender Documents:** Documents provided to prospective tenderers when they are invited to tender and that form the basis on which tenders are submitted, including instructions to tenderers, contract conditions, specification, pricing document, form of tender and tenderers responses

**Tender Evaluation**: Detailed assessment and comparison of contractor, supplier or service provider offers, against lowest cost or most economically advantageous (cost and quality based) criteria.

**Transparency:** In the context of the CoST initiative transparency relates to the disclosure of material project information on construction projects.

Value for money: is the most advantageous combination of cost, quality and sustainability to meet customer requirements

### Annex 2: STANDARD TEMPLATE FOR DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

		DISCLOSURE OF PROCUREM	ENT & CONTRACT INFOF	<b>₹MATION</b>
PHASE	ITEMS OF DISCLOSURE	CONSTRUCTION	DESIGN	SUPERVISION
	Date of disclosure	1		
	Contract title	Construction of Dormitory & Class room	Design of expansion works	Supervision & contract administration of (L
	Location	Aksum university health science campus	EDSWCo, BUDSWS	EDSWCo, BUDSWS
	Procuring entity	Aksum university	Aksum university	Aksum university
_	Source of further information	AU construction project office	EDSWCo, BUDSWS	EDSWCo, BUDSWS
<u>0</u>	Date of procurement notice	06/06/2005 E.C	N/A	N/A
PROCUREMENT INFORMATION	Floating period of the procurement notice	30 days	N/A	N/A
	Media used for procurement notice	News paper	N/A	N/A
į	Method of procurement	National competitive bidding	Direct appointment	Direct appointment
	Type of procurement	Works	Service	Service
Ĭ IJ	Procurement procedure	Open bid	Direct	Direct
) UR	Evaluation criteria	Least cost	N/A	N/A
PROC	Type of contract & project delivery method	Add measurement, build,test commission and hand over	Lump sum, design	Lump sum, supervision and contract admi
1	Type & amount of bid security	Bank guarantee, Birr 250,000.00	N/A	N/A
1	Content of any complaint logged	None	None	None
1	Engineer's estimate	'	N/A	N/A
1	Date of bid opening	05/07/2005 E.C	N/A	N/A
	Number of bidders: Participated, rejected and declined to submit	Four, two, six	N/A	N/A

	Awarded firm/contracting firm	YOTEK construction plc	EDSWCo, BUDSWS	EDSWCo, BUDSWS
	Date of contract award	09/09/2005 E.C	19/10/2013 G.C	
	Award price/original contract price	54,753,929.26	945,153.06	38,000.00 birr/month
	Unit contract price	None	N/A	N/A
	Contract security type and amount		None	None
	Date of contact signing	26/09/2005 E.C		13/06/2013 G.C
	Contract scope	Construction of G+4 Dormitory, G+4 Class room	Design of expansion works	Supervision & contract administration of G Dormitory and G+4 Class room
	Description of contract & contract components	Supply of all labour, material and machinery for Construction of G+4 Dormitory, G+4 Class room	Modification and adaptation of Dormitory and Class room	Service provision for construction supervis contract administration
	Contract administration entity	EDSWCo, BUDSWS	AU construction project office	AU construction project office
	Contract duration	450 calendar days	60 calendar days	450 calendar days
	Contract start date	23/10/2005 E.C	19/10/2012 G.C	23/10/2005 E.C
	Intended completion date	13/01/2007 E.C	19/12/2012 G.C	13/01/2007 E.C
	Contract status	Completed	Completed	Completed
L NO	Completion date (revised, projected, actual)	06/05/2007, 13/01/2007,10/04/2007 E.C	None	30/05/2009,
CONTRACT	Changes to contract duration with reason	143 days due to variation works		5 months
N EME	Amount of liquidated damages	None	None	None
CC	Contract price (revised, projected, actual)	60,644,164.26 , 54,753,929.26, 62,723,649.57	945,153.06	38,000.00 birr/month
	Changes to contract price with reason	7,969,720.31, due to variation works	None	N/A

Scope of completion	G+4 dormitory, G+4 classroom and laundry	Design of expansion works	Supervision & contract administration of G Dormitory and G+4 Class room
Changes to contract scope with reason	Laundry for students use	N/A	N/A
Total payment effected	62,723,649.57		
warranty type and description		None	None
Details of termination	N/A	None	None
Safety measures	None	None	None
Quality of work	Good		
Disputed issue & award details	None	None	None

DISCLOSURE OF PROJECT INFORMATION				
F T	Date of disclosure	Jun-18		
IMINA	Project owner	Aksum University		
RELIM	Project name	Dormitory and Class room		
PREL	Sector, subsector	Education		

	Source for further information	EDSWCo, BUDSWS
	Project location	Aksum university health science campus, in Aksum town
	Purpose	Construction of G+4 dormitory and G+4 class room
	Project description	Supply of all labour, material and machinery for construction of G+4 dormitory and G+4 class room at health science campus
	Original project scope	G+4 dormitory and G+4 class room
Z O	Project components	Concrete work, structural steel work, finishing, sanitary installation, mechanical installation, electrical installation and asphalt pavement
/AT	Environmental impact	None
NFORMATION	Land and settlement impact	Displacement of local farmers
Z	Estimated budget of the project with breakdown of components	None
	Funding sources	Government of the FDRE
	Project budget approval date	None
	Project start date (planned, actual)	23/10/2005 E.C, 23/10/2005 E.C
	Planned/original duration for completing the whole project	450 calendar days
	Planned/original cost of the project	ETB 54,753,929.26
7	Cost of the project at completion	ETB 62,723,649.57
CT	Changes of project cost with reason	ETB 7,969,720.31, due to variation works
OJE	Project completion date (revised, projected, actual)	06/05/2007, 13/01/2007,10/04/2007 E.C
PROJECT INFORMATION	Actual duration for completing the whole project	593 calendar days
≤	Changes of project duration with reason	143 days due to variation works

l (	Project scope at completion	G+4 dormitory, G+4 classroom and laundry for students use
∀ i	Changes of project scope with reason	Laundry for students
	Reference to documents for disclosure upon request (reactive disclosure)	EDSWCo, BUDSWS